Ethnonyms and early medieval ethnicity: Methodological reflections

Walter POHL

Abstract

This contribution will address the much-discussed issue whether 'ethnicity' and 'ethnic identity' are meaningful categories of historical research, what they mean and how we can use them in the interpretation of late antique and early medieval sources. It will present new concepts of ethnic identity and ethnicity. In this model, ethnic identity is seen as the result of a complex process of communication and interaction, and as both flexible and potentially very durable. The term 'ethnicity' describes a cognitive and strategic scheme of ordering the social world, in which ethnonyms are fundamental. 'Ethnicity' as a system of distinctions between collective social actors and 'ethnic identity' as the result of a series of identifications are of course closely linked, but they represent different aspects of 'the ethnic'. Therefore, ethnonyms do not necessarily reflect ethnic self-identification of the group concerned, although they often do. What they attest to is some shared belief that humans can be distinguished according to ethnic distinctions, that is, on the basis of 'natural' affiliations that people are born with.
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'Magyar' as the name of persons, places and communities

György SZABADOS

Abstract

By a name we can identify – or at least we can try to identify – a community. But if we look at the process how people gave names during the early medieval history, we have to face many limits and problems. On the one hand, communities were organized by several ways and different kinds of identities (e. g. person, state, clan, ethnic group) which can be confusing. On the other hand, the name and its denoted object can lead into different directions: for example one name could identify more folks and on the contrary, an ethnic group could have many names.

My paper focuses on the word 'Magyar' which is now an internal name of the 'Hungarian' nation. The Hungarians entered the history during the 9th century, but the first occurrence of their internal name goes back to the first part of the 6th century and not in a group-identifying role. Ca. 530 lived a Kutrigur-Hunnic king who was mentioned as 'Muageris' by Byzantine authors. Some historians and philologists asserted that the name 'Muageris' can be related with the 'Magyars'. An important Byzantine work, the De Administrando Imperio (ca. 950) enumerates 'the clan of Meger' amongst the 'Turk' [Hungarian] clans, and centuries later the old Hungarian narrative sources mentioned 'Hetumoger' or 'het Mogor' both in the meaning of 'seven Hungarians'. Comparing these datas with other ones which can be found in the old Hungarian gestas and chronicles, and systematizing the occurrences of name 'Magyar', we can see that 'Muageris' can be inserted into the frame of the written datas. The word 'Magyar' can be found as denoting 1.) Personal name: 'Hunor' and 'Magor' who are the twins of the original Hungarian ethnic myth, the forefathers of all Huns and Hungarians. 2.) Name of a place, the ancient homeland: some Hungarian chronicles mentioned 'Magor' as a synonym of whole 'Scythia' or a part of 'Scythia' with two other provinces called 'Bascardia' and 'Dentia'. 3.) Name of a clan (the aforementioned 'Meger'). 4.) Ethnic name (e. g. the aforementioned 'het Mogor').
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Approaches to the value of toponyms with ethnonymic origin as historical sources

Valéria TÓTH

Abstract

Beyond certain subfields of linguistics, the testimony of toponyms has also long been one of the foundations that the study of history is based upon. To understand why this is the case, one has to look no further than the fact that sources available to researchers within written records of early Hungarian history consist almost exclusively of Hungarian proper nouns, which survived mostly in Latin-language (and, occasionally, Greek) charters and historical narratives. Historians rely on toponyms primarily when dealing with issues concerning the history of particular settlements and their ownership, as well as when charting early linguistic-ethnic conditions within the Carpathian Basin, in the course of the latter of which, apart from names of foreign origin, it is toponyms with ethnonymic constituents that can be used to gain information. In my lecture I demonstrate the approaches throwing some light on the value of the latter type of toponyms, i.e., toponyms (mostly names of settlements) of ethnonymic origin as linguistic-ethnic sources. I also raise a related topic, that of a theory, namely, the so-called theory of historical toponym typology, its shortcomings and contradictions, and I also introduce a method which may be far more helpful in clarifying these issues: the method of linguistic reconstruction for ethnic purposes.
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Barbarians at the gate: Frontiers and ethnic identities in Late Antiquity

Salvatore LICCARDO

Abstract

Drawing upon case studies from geographical texts and panegyrics, the presentation aims to appreciate the richness and the adaptability of ethnographic nomenclatures that Late Antique and Early Medieval mapmakers, geographers and orators had at their disposal. Because of the vague disciplinary definition of geography and a very widespread lexical conservatism, authors that dealt with barbarian peoples could easily blur distinctions between genres, historical events and even between languages. In Late Antique geographical works and panegyrics one can find names of coeval peoples right after names of groups who had disappeared from historiographical records centuries before or even of peoples that never existed, like dog-headed or all-ears men. Citing ancient and extravagant ethnonyms writers could display their erudition and exercise their rhetorical expertise. Ethnonyms taken from mythology or with a telling etymology served to trigger readers’ imagination and rework known literary commonplace, which could shape images of distant places. Listing names of gentes according to a geographical or an alphabetical order, geographers and orators created inventories that served to nurture and perpetuate Roman conceptions of the world and the imperial rhetoric. In conclusion, the analysis of a few captions from the Tabula Peutingeriana will shed light on the way ethnonyms function as structuring devices in cultural and political discourses.
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Social Integration, Spatial Separation? Ethnic Diversity in Medieval Yemen

Odile KOMMER

Abstract

This paper aims first to shed light on social frontiers that manifest spatially in the form of separate living quarters for minority communities within medieval South Arabian settlement structures and then to contrast this with the idea of integrating and “protecting” minority groups within the general population. Regarding questions of integration, a spatial component proves relevant for social organization in the Yemeni highlands. While a picture of divided settlements relates to social loyalties and alliances as well as to collective categorization and distinction within a shared (urban) space, it also represents a certain notion of community for minority populations. By focusing on Arabic terms and group designations in Yemeni sources, categories of social inclusion or exclusion become explicit. Drawing on linguistic or religious conceptions, they also feed processes of ethnic identification and influence social cohesion. The notion of a secluded space for minority community life as a privilege granted by the local rulers provides common ground for further comparison over time and across regions.
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Strategies of setting boundaries between the ‘self’ and the ‘other’ in Medieval Yemeni Sources

Andrea NOWAK

Abstract
The paper examines Ibn al-Muqāwirs travelogue (13th century Arabia) and discusses the author’s use of ethnonyms such as “Arabs” and “Persians”, but also of various smaller groups living in medieval South Arabia. A thorough analysis of this literary mixed narrative aims to identify different strategies of setting boundaries between the “Self” and the “Other”. The concepts used in this endeavor are (i) real and imagined genealogies, (ii) the distinction between the civilized and the barbaric, and (iii) notions of spatial division and territorial claims. In the course of describing the communal life of ethnic groups, their trade customs, relationships and sexual practices the author gradually distances himself from the “Other”. A comparison to contemporaneous writings of different genres, such as al-Ḥazraqī’s “Pearl Strings” and ʿUmārah al-Yamanī’s “Tariṣ al-Yaman” will shed further light on the usage of ethnonyms as conceptual tools in different medieval settings.
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Mapping Turkic peoples and tribes in the 9–11th centuries

Zsuzsanna ZSIDAI

Abstract
The identification of the various people living on the medieval Eurasian Steppe has always been a serious and often discussed problem by the scholars researching the early history of this territory. The Arabs came into contact with Central Asian peoples from the 7th century onward during the course of the Islamic conquest hence we can find many details about the Steppe peoples in the Arabic sources too.

The Arabic geographer Ibn Rusta mentions the Hungarians among the Turkic peoples in the beginning of the 10th century. However, the Arabic sources relate that we can find many Turkic tribes or peoples in different regions, such in Ferghana, Khorasan, Transoxania, Samarkand and near to Armenia. Based on this fact, the name ‘Turk’ may be interpreted in different ways. My aim is to point at some difficulties regarding the translation and interpretation of terms referring to peoples or tribes like ‘djins’ or ‘kaum’ and to show some examples for the occurrences of ethnonym ‘Turk’ in the medieval Arabic texts.

After the examination of the methodological questions I will argue that we can use the name ‘Turk’ as a group-identifying term more carefully in the wider context of the early medieval world of the Eurasian Steppe.
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From nomadism to ethnicity

Dávid SOMFAI KARA

Abstract

International scholarship on Inner Asian studies – including Hungarian scholarship – examined several stock-breeding and horse-riding nomadic societies that could help to obtain a better picture about the social structure of the land-conquering early Hungarian State if it is possible in a hypothetic way. The social and ethnic processes of Inner Asian nomads are well documented in the 19th and 20th centuries, sometimes even from an earlier period, while scarce information on early Hungarian history is not sufficient for that.

One of the aspects is the complex relation between ethnonyms (autonyms and exonyms), their different meanings in historical and political context, their occurrences on different levels (political, tribal, ethnic, etc.). Question arises whether we can really call them ethnonyms? Some of the modern ethnic groups of Inner Asia are the product of recent development and often were created by political and not ethnic processes. Some of the ethnonyms were not autonyms and their usage was decided by a small circle of people (political or cultural elite) and they were later accepted as autonyms. The altering of ethnonyms due to political changes is also significant. It is also interesting to see the attempts of modern nations and ethnic groups to explore their early political and ethnic history (ethno-genesis) based on their languages and ethnonyms and to observe their obvious mistakes.
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Evenki hunter-gathering style and cultural contact

Tatiana SAFONOVA – István SÁNTHA

Abstract

This presentation is based on several periods of long-term field research conducted between 1995 and 2009 among various groups of Evenki, who inhabit the Baikal region of East Siberia. During these expeditions the researchers recognized that it was problematical to categorize the Evenki as exclusively hunter-gatherers, although compared to other people, especially their neighbors, they seemed to maintain a lifestyle best described as hunter-gathering. Based on evidence collected by other ethnographers, mainly Shirokogoroff and Shubin, we will argue that the hunter-gathering lifestyle is always framed by contacts with cattle-breeders, traders, peasants, miners and people with other occupations. Very often transformations and transitions in a hunter-gathering lifestyle are caused by either social or environmental changes. As a conclusion it could be said that the hunter-gathering lifestyle can be defined as a strategy of adaptation to external circumstances, and in this respect temporary inclusion of cattle, horse and reindeer breeding, as well as wage labor, do not mean complete assimilation. On the contrary, these strategies help to maintain hunter-gathering activities in the long term. We would like to show? a critical approach of the connections between hunter-gathers and their neighbors preferring not to operate through ethnicity rather to analyze how the content of hunter-gatherer determinations has been changed in a particular region over the last century.
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